Atmospheric composition, radiative forcing, and climate change as a consequence of a massive methane release from gas hydrates
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[1] The massive perturbation to global climate and the carbon cycle during the Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) (approx. 55.5 Ma) may have been forced by a catastrophic release of methane gas (CH₄) from hydrate deposits on the continental slope. We investigate whether reported PETM paleotemperature and paleo-CO₂ proxies are consistent with this hypothesis by considering the impact of large increases in CH₄ emissions to the atmosphere. Significant effects on atmospheric chemistry and CH₄ lifetime are seen for a range of plausible emission rates (1500 Gt carbon over 500–20,000 years). The resulting peak anomalous radiative forcing is 1.5–13.3 W/m² depending on the emission scenario. The scenarios most closely matched to the PETM carbon isotope excursion have peak forcing of around 3 W/m², which translates to peak temperature changes as a function of latitude that are a reasonable match to derived estimates. High CH₄ levels and enhanced stratospheric water vapor amounts persist for as long as do the emissions and are responsible for more of the peak radiative forcing than CO₂ levels, although results are sensitive to the background climate state and base CH₄ concentration. **INDEX TERMS:** 3337 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Numerical modeling and data assimilation; 0322 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Constituent sources and sinks; 3344 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics:Paleoclimatology; 1610 Global Change: Atmosphere (0315, 0325)


1. Introduction

[2] The Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) event was characterized by extreme global warmth and a rapid, pronounced decrease (of up to −3‰ δ¹³C) in the mean carbon isotopic ratio of the global carbon cycle [Kennett and Stott, 1991; Koch et al., 1992; Katz et al., 1999; Bains et al., 1999]. Deep ocean warming of about 4–6°C has been inferred in many cores. Estimates of surface warming from foraminiferal oxygen isotopes are from 5°C to 8°C in the high latitudes (ODP 690, Maud Rise, South Atlantic, ≈65°S), 1–4°C in the subtropics (DSDP 527, Walvis Ridge, South Atlantic, ≈35°S), and 1–2°C in lower latitudes (ODP 1051, Blake Nose, North Atlantic, ≈30°N, ODP 865, Allison Guyot, Equatorial Pacific, ≈18°N) [Bralower et al., 1997; Bains et al., 1999; Thomas et al., 1999].

[3] A compelling explanation for the carbon isotope excursion is a massive release of methane gas (CH₄) from hydrates along continental margins [Dickens et al., 1995]. Although the amount and duration of this CH₄ input remains poorly constrained, current literature suggests approximately 1500–2000 Gt of carbon (1 Gt = 10¹² g) over 10–20 kyr was added to the system [Röhl et al., 2000; Dickens, 2001]. However, a number of important questions remain open. In particular, was the contemporaneous global warming driven by this release, or were prior climate changes the initiator of the event? [Katz et al., 2001; Bice and Marotzke, 2002]. Additionally, was this CH₄ injected and oxidized directly into the ocean or did it bubble up into the atmosphere? [Dickens, 2000]. Recently reported research using single specimen isotopic analyses of foraminifera at ODP 690 has indicated that the changes in both carbon and oxygen isotopes were earlier and faster at the surface than at intermediate or deeper depths [Zachos et al., 2001]. Thus, at least at this location, the anomalous warmth and carbon isotope excursion appear to be synchronous and likely occurred first in the atmosphere. This is consistent with CH₄ emissions directly into the atmosphere and some degree of consequential global warming.

[4] In the present-day atmosphere, CH₄ is rapidly oxidized to carbon dioxide (CO₂), and has a lifetime of about 10 years [Prather et al., 2001, and references therein] Thus, it has generally been assumed that any direct radiative forcing from changes to the atmospheric CH₄ concentration would have been minimal, and that any climate perturbations were driven primarily by the increases in CO₂ [Dickens, 2000]. However, consideration of atmospheric chemistry implies that the residence time for CH₄ will be significantly augmented as concentrations increase [e.g., Sze, 1977; Isaksen and Hof, 1987; Prather et al., 2001] through a feedback that reduces the abundance of atmospheric OH radicals, the dominant chemical sink. There will also be greater CH₄ oxidation in the stratosphere (an important source of stratospheric water vapor, H₂Ostr), and it has been suggested that amounts of polar stratospheric clouds may...
Although the feedback of CH$_4$ on its own lifetime has been climatic consequences here. We attempt to better quantify this effect and its climatic consequences here.

Our knowledge of the atmospheric composition and climate during the Paleocene are highly uncertain. Estimates for the base CO$_2$ level range from 300 to 2000 ppm [Sinha and Stott, 1994; Royer et al., 2001; Pearson and Falmer, 2001], while for CH$_4$ a range of 7–10 ppm has been estimated based on a threefold increase in wetland areas (compared to the present-day) during this period [Sloan et al., 1992, 1999]. Increased tectonically driven sources have also been proposed [Hudson and Magoon, 2002]. Estimates of concentrations during the PETM event itself are either not available or very poorly constrained [Sinha and Stott, 1994]. These uncertainties have relevance to the fate of any anomalous CH$_4$ emissions in two principle ways; the effect of base CH$_4$ level on the oxidation capacity of the atmosphere, and the effect of warming from all greenhouse gases (GHGs) on the surface climate. Atmospheric chemistry is not affected directly by the level of CO$_2$, which is, for these purposes, chemically inert.

In light of these difficulties, we principally consider perturbations to the better understood preindustrial (ca. 1850) atmosphere. Ice core data provide accurate preindustrial CH$_4$ concentrations and we have reasonable estimates of natural emissions of the important gases. Crucially, we know that atmospheric CH$_4$ was roughly in steady state, and that therefore the sources and sinks were balanced. This allows us to then close the budget for CH$_4$. In the text below, we discuss the impacts of different atmospheric concentrations wherever relevant.

Starting with a number of CH$_4$ emission scenarios for the PETM consistent with the observed carbon isotopic excursion, we simulate the atmospheric chemistry response and the subsequent radiative forcing by increased GHGs (i.e., CH$_4$, CO$_2$, and H$_2$O$_{str}$). We then use an atmospheric general circulation model (GCM) to estimate the degree of consequential surface warming and, by comparing the results with the inferred climate change, we attempt to constrain possible emission scenarios.

2. Atmospheric Chemistry Modeling

2.1. Model

In order to estimate atmospheric chemistry changes over the tens of thousands of years relevant for the PETM, we require estimates of the feedback between CH$_4$ and OH when CH$_4$ concentrations are high. We start with a two-dimensional (2-D) atmospheric chemistry model based on the 3-D tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry used in the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) GCM [Shindell et al., 1998, 2001a; Shindell and Grewe, 2002], with photochemical reaction rates updated to the most recent estimates [Sander et al., 2000]. The tropospheric component uses H$_2$O$_x$-NO$_x$-O$_x$-CO-CH$_4$ chemistry (Figure 1), neglecting higher hydrocarbons (with the exception of isoprene, which is included as the equivalent amount of CO). In this 2-D version, water vapor is taken from the GCM mean fields (for present-day climate), while CO is held fixed. It also includes NO$_x$ emissions from soils, biomass burning, and lightning and parameterized hydrologic removal of soluble gases derived from the full GCM, providing a crude approximation of global behavior.

The increase in CH$_4$ lifetime as concentrations increase is due to the exhaustion of OH radicals, which are the primary tropospheric oxidizing agents [Ley, 1971]. The schematic in Figure 1 shows the basic features of tropospheric oxidation chemistry. The full chemistry scheme involves many more reactions than are shown here (for instance there is a long oxidation chain between CH$_4$ and the final product CO$_2$). Including all the steps and the intermediate products would unnecessarily increase the complexity of the diagram. Further details can be found in the cited references if required.

The essential elements (going clockwise around the figure) can be outlined as follows: (1) NO and NO$_2$ can be considered to be in equilibrium, (2) photolysis of NO$_2$ is a source of atomic oxygen, which rapidly combines with molecular oxygen to form O$_3$ (although there are also important stratospheric sources of both O$_3$ and NO$_2$), (3) the destruction of O$_3$ in turn by UV radiation provides the principle source of OH (via the reaction of excited singlet D oxygen atoms with water), (4) OH and HO$_2$ can also be considered in equilibrium, (5) OH is converted into HO$_2$ during oxidation of CH$_4$ (and CO or higher hydrocarbons), and (6) the reaction of HO$_2$ with NO is the primary pathway that regenerates both NO$_2$ and OH. There are important sources for NO$_2$ from surface emissions, lightning and transport from the stratosphere, and sinks for NO and NO$_2$ by rain-out of HNO$_3$, for HO$_2$ again by rain-out via hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and for tropospheric ozone by dry deposition (which also removes NO and NO$_2$).

With increases in hydrocarbons (such as CH$_4$, but also isoprene and CO, which play a similar role) the limiting factor in the recycling of OH is the concentration of NO. This is thought to be the limiting step throughout most of the present-day atmosphere, even with large emissions of NO and NO$_2$ from fossil fuel burning and CH$_4$ emissions much less than at the PETM. With large CH$_4$ amounts, the equilibrium between OH and HO$_2$ moves toward higher HO$_2$ amounts. While this in turn pushes the NO/NO$_2$ balance toward NO$_2$, enhancing OH production via ozone, this is outweighed by a shift toward HO$_2$. Additionally, the hydrogen peroxide sink for the HO$_2$ radical acts more efficiently, which further draws down OH levels.

With increased CH$_4$ emissions, tropospheric oxidation thus becomes less effective, and the other principal sinks for CH$_4$ (stratospheric oxidation, and the poorly constrained soil sink) will grow in importance. This will in turn lead to higher H$_2$O$_{str}$ amounts. To account for stratospheric changes impacting on the troposphere (through changes in UV absorption which plays a role in tropospheric OH production, and in sources for NO$_2$ and O$_3$), we also include linearized stratospheric chemistry. This allows the first-order feedbacks between CH$_4$, H$_2$O$_{str}$ and stratospheric O$_3$ to be estimated [Shindell and Grewe, 2002]. The chemistry is linearized around a base preindus-
trial atmospheric composition, and the tendencies in \( \text{O}_3 \) due to temperature, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{str}} \), and UV radiation are calculated. The chemistry model thus contains the main processes that determine OH abundances, and reproduces the present-day oxidation capacity seen in the full 3-D simulation. Note that the full stratospheric water budget is highly uncertain and will be greatly impacted by changes in, for instance, tropopause temperatures and tropospheric–stratospheric exchange dynamics. Thus in this paper, we consider only the forced change in \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{str}} \) due to increased CH\(_4\) oxidation, and not any feedbacks that could depend on the subsequent climate change.

[13] The sensitivity of the 2-D model compares well to more complicated models. For instance, the change in the OH sink (considering the tropospheric chemistry response only) for a 10% increase in CH\(_4\) over present-day amounts is \(-2.8\%\), compared to a range of \(-2.9\%\) to \(-3.5\%\) for a selection of 3-D chemical transport models [Prather et al., 2001, Table 4.3, p. 251]. Including the linearized response of stratospheric ozone to temperature, humidity, and UV (but not to changes in ozone transports), leads to an additional negative feedback. Increasing CH\(_4\) leads to increasing \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_{\text{str}} \), a small decrease in stratospheric \( \text{O}_3 \), and an increase in the amount of UV reaching the troposphere, consequently increasing the photolytic production of OH radicals. This is however a small effect, reducing the OH change by about 0.2% to \(-2.6\%\).

[14] In the Paleocene, warmer temperatures will have likely lead to increased atmospheric water amounts that affect the production of OH. An estimate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) increases in a greenhouse world would be about 30% for a 4°C temperature increase, making the reasonable assumption that relative humidity is roughly constant [IPCC, 2001]. Over a range of GCM experiments, the increase in OH for this magnitude change is less than 10% [Grenfell et al., 2001, personal communication]. This actually leads to an increased sensitivity to CH\(_4\) changes, though not by a significant amount. Another unknown quantity at this time is the amount of higher hydrocarbons emitted from vegetation whose distribution was likely to have been more

---

**Figure 1.** Simplified schematic of the tropospheric chemistry scheme, showing the primary pathways for OH radical generation and loss. For clarity, some intermediate reactions and the effects of CO and higher hydrocarbons are not shown. Increases in CH\(_4\) decrease the OH/HO\(_2\) ratio and also increase the sink of HO\(_2\) via H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (see text for details).
extensive than at present. Increases in these emissions would reduce the atmospheric oxidation capacity and lead to higher CH$_4$ concentrations.

2.2. Results

[15] The change in OH amounts and CH$_4$ residence time for a series of CH$_4$ concentrations are outlined in Table 1. The change in oxidation capacity can be characterized by the percentage decrease in OH concentration or by the relative specific loss term for CH$_4$. For example, a 10% decrease in OH, leads to a relative specific loss of 0.9 compared to the control. In the absence of any nonlinear feedback on CH$_4$ oxidation, the relative specific loss would be identically one (i.e., the loss rate would simply be proportional to the concentration). Thus, a smaller relative specific loss implies a greater nonlinear reduction in tropospheric oxidation.

[16] We calculated the OH change for CH$_4$ concentrations from 0.7 to 140 ppmv (200 times preindustrial values). The results show an exponential decline in oxidation capacity as CH$_4$ increases (in the absence of any other changes). Note that the preindustrial to present-day concentration change ($M = 2.5$, concentrations going from 0.7 to 1.75 ppmv) gives an almost 20% decline. However, in the real climate concomitant increases in NO$_x$ emissions and tropospheric O$_3$ have had an opposing effect.

[17] We also present the changes in O$_3$ from the linearized stratospheric chemistry. Increasing H$_2$O$_{str}$ amounts lead to generally less ozone, but lower temperatures (due to increased radiative cooling) tend to increase ozone. These estimates should be considered tentative due to the large number of processes important for O$_3$ not included here.

Table 1. 2-D Atmospheric Chemistry Calculations$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH$_4$ M</th>
<th>OH (% Change)</th>
<th>Relative Specific Loss Rate ($\Delta_{OH}$)</th>
<th>CH$_4$ Lifetime (years)</th>
<th>$\Delta$O$_{3,\text{str}}$ (% Change)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-30.8</td>
<td>0.692</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-42.5</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-56.5</td>
<td>0.435</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>-78.1</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-90.4</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-91.2</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>+10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The concentration M is the multiple of the preindustrial CH$_4$ concentration (0.7 ppmv). The OH radical variation is given as a percentage increase over the preindustrial climate. The relative specific loss is the fractional change to the tropospheric sink term for CH$_4$ compared to the preindustrial control. The lifetime for CH$_4$ is defined with respect to the total source term. The ozone change figures are zeroth-order estimates from the 2-D chemistry model but do not include any advective effects.
anticipate that the procedure outlined here will serve to produce reasonable first-order estimates of the changing concentrations.

[19] We choose to input a constant total CH<sub>4</sub> amount (equivalent to 1500 Gt of carbon) representative of the PETM in a number of ways in order to bracket the possible responses. First, we calculate the transient scenarios assuming a large, short-term pulse (uniform over 500 years). Note that even if the CH<sub>4</sub> release were effectively instantaneous, the equations predict that it would be over 200 years before CH<sub>4</sub> levels came close to their previous value. Second, we consider a number of more gradual releases over 1000, 5000, and 10,000 years (1.5, 0.3, and 0.15 Gt/yr, respectively). Detailed analysis of the PETM carbon isotope excursion [Bains et al., 1999] suggests that the initial decrease occurred in three distinct steps over 20,000 years, each corresponding to an input of about 600, 500, and 300 Gt of carbon within about 1000 years. The transient scenario B99 simulates this hypothesized three pulse release (scaled so that the total input is 1500 Gt). Finally, we consider the response of the atmosphere to a gradual release, with but two-thirds of the CH<sub>4</sub> oxidized in the deep ocean, leaving only a 0.05 Gt/yr emission over 10,000 years directly into the atmosphere. The results for the various scenarios are summarized in Table 2.

[20] For scenarios with pulses longer than a few hundred years, CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations stabilize since the timescale for the change in emissions is now significantly longer than the atmospheric residence time (Table 1). However, CO<sub>2</sub> levels will continue to increase until the emissions cease (since the residence time for CO<sub>2</sub> is considerably longer).

[21] With the exception of the 500 year pulse experiment, CO<sub>2</sub> levels do not rise substantially (160 ppmv at maximum and less than 100 ppmv for the B99 case). For comparison, Dickens [2000] estimates an eventual rise of about 60 and 85 ppmv in atmospheric concentrations for two cases where all CH<sub>4</sub> would be completely oxidized in the ocean, or atmosphere, respectively. That study assumed an input of 840 Gt carbon over 10,000 years. With the larger source function used here (1500 Gt), we estimate that this would translate to peak increases of about 100 and 150 ppmv instead. The 150 ppmv figure can be compared directly to the 90 ppmv increase predicted for the 0.15 Gt/yr experiment in Table 2. The difference is due to the details of the carbon cycle model used in the previous study, rather than the slower oxidation rate assumed due to the CH<sub>4</sub> feedback. This therefore gives some idea of the uncertainty in the CO<sub>2</sub> calculations.

[22] In the case where we assume that two-thirds of the CH<sub>4</sub> is oxidized in the ocean, there will be an increased air–sea flux of CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere, which is not accounted for in our model. Judging from the results of Dickens [2000], we estimate that this would reduce the total atmospheric concentration increase by about a quarter (compared to full atmospheric oxidation). We would therefore be missing around 30–40 ppmv CO<sub>2</sub> giving a peak increase of around 70 ppmv. However, this is a rather unsatisfactory estimate, and would be better examined in a model with a full carbon cycle.

[23] Note that in all cases, the increases in CO<sub>2</sub> are independent of the assumed background concentration (within a few ppmv). However, this is not true for CH<sub>4</sub> (and hence H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>atm</sub>) due to the nonlinearities in the chemistry. A hypothesized threefold increase in natural CH<sub>4</sub> sources due to enhanced wetland areas [Sloan et al., 1999] would lead, using our model, to a base CH<sub>4</sub> level of 2.9 ppmv (see Appendix A for details). Since there may also have been other extra sources of CH<sub>4</sub> [Hudson and Magoon, 2002], and also other hydrocarbons, we consider the sensitivity of our results assuming a “Paleocene” value of 6 ppmv (and consequent concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>atm</sub> of 4.4 ppmv). The increase in CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations due to anomalous emissions, assuming this base level, are larger than for the preindustrial case, ranging from a further increase of 0.4 ppmv (0.05 Gt/yr case) to 22 ppmv (500 year pulse). The B99 experiment would have an additional increase of 2.4 ppmv over the value shown in Table 2.

3. Radiative and Climate Forcing

[24] Estimates of radiative forcing are a useful way to characterize the climate response to changes in GHG amounts. For well-mixed gases (such as CO<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub>), the instantaneous forcing at the tropopause (i.e., the change in the net radiative flux if GHG concentrations are instantly increased) has been shown to be a good predictor of subsequent climate change across a range of models and scenarios [IPCC, 2001]. The instantaneous forcing for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>atm</sub> is not quite as useful because of the changes to the forcing that occur as stratospheric temperatures adjust to the new water vapor concentration. In this case the “adjusted forcing,” where stratospheric (but not tropospheric) temperatures are allowed to come into equilibrium, is a better predictor [Hansen et al., 1997b]. However, this difference is only around 10% [Oinas et al., 2001], and is therefore neglected in the rest of this discussion.

[25] We used the GISS radiative transfer model [Lacis and Oinas, 1991], as implemented within the GISS GCM, to compare the climate forcing from the GHG concentration changes from Table 2. The radiative transfer code uses 33
separate spectral intervals to give results that are in good agreement with more detailed line-by-line calculations [Oinas et al., 2001].

The forcing from each scenario due to CO\(_2\) alone, CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) together, and total forcing including H\(_2\)O are given in Table 2. For comparison, a doubling of CO\(_2\) in this model (from 280 to 560 ppmv) produces an instantaneous change in forcing of 4.4 W/m\(^2\) at the tropopause. The radiative forcing for CO\(_2\) is roughly proportional to the logarithm \(\frac{4.4 \log(C)}{\log(2)}\) of its concentration while for CH\(_4\), the forcing scales like the square root [IPCC, 2001]. This implies that the higher the base level, the smaller the forcing will be from a fixed increase in concentration.

The results in Table 2 show the increased radiative forcing with respect to a simulation with preindustrial GHG concentrations. Note that the peak concentrations and forcing for the B99 case are taken from the end of the second pulse. In all cases, a large part of the forcing is due to the CH\(_4\) increase, and even in the smaller input experiments, the CH\(_4\) plus H\(_2\)O\(_\text{str}\) forcing is almost equal to that of CO\(_2\) alone. CO\(_2\) dominates the forcing only in the case where most CH\(_4\) was oxidized in the ocean (especially if you take account of the possibly increased air–sea flux discussed above).

With estimated Paleocene base levels (6 ppmv CH\(_4\)), the ppmv increases for the same anomalous CH\(_4\) emissions are higher (since the residence time of CH\(_4\) is longer). However, the anomalous forcing will be less. For instance, the B99 forcing due to CH\(_4\) would be decreased 20% despite an additional increase of 2.4 ppmv due to the higher CH\(_4\) base level. This effect is even more important for CO\(_2\) and, if the highest estimates for the Paleocene concentration are correct, imply that the radiative forcing from the modeled CO\(_2\) increases here would be around 50% less. The total difference this makes for the 500 year pulse is very small, but the decrease in total forcing for the 1.5 Gt/yr, 0.3 Gt/yr, and B99 experiments are 7%, 28%, and 24%, respectively.

For any particular climate model, the response of the climate will be well approximated by the radiative forcing multiplied by that model’s climate sensitivity. For present-day climate simulations, the sensitivity ranges from roughly 0.5°C/(W/m\(^2\)) to 1°C/(W/m\(^2\)) depending on the climate model used [IPCC, 2001]. Thus a 3 W/m\(^2\) forcing would translate to a global mean surface temperature change of between 1.5°C and 3.0°C.

The change in latitudinal temperature gradient is more model dependent. In order to make a first-order estimate, we ran a coarse resolution (8° x 10°) version of the GISS middle atmosphere GCM (model top at 85 km) with a mixed layer ocean and thermodynamic-only sea ice [Shindell et al., 2001b]. This model assumes fixed ocean heat transports but allows ocean temperatures to adjust to the radiative forcing (which takes about 20 or 30 model years). It also has a resolved stratosphere which has been shown, in particular, to affect the sensitivity of zonal winds to GHG forcing [Shindell et al., 1999]. We used present-day boundary conditions (continental configurations, soil, vegetation, and ice sheets) to allow an easy comparison between these results and other climate models.

We ran the model with the stabilized concentrations of CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) from the 1.5 and 0.3 Gt/yr scenarios and compared the results to a preindustrial control run. The last 30 years of a 60 year simulation were used to examine the new equilibria. As expected, the global mean temperature changes, 6.7°C and 2.9°C, respectively, are proportional to the radiative forcing (implying a climate sensitivity of about 1°C/(W/m\(^2\))). Equilibrium temperature responses for all of the scenarios (and including the additional H\(_2\)O\(_\text{str}\) forcing) can therefore be estimated by scaling these results to the total radiative forcing outlined in Table 2.

Figure 3a shows the change in zonal mean ocean temperature for various scenarios. There is a clear high latitude amplification due to snow and sea ice feedbacks on
the albedo. Tropical warming is significantly less but still substantial and the equator-to-pole temperature gradient is decreased. These results are similar to most GHG forced simulations for the present-day, with the minor caveat that the climate sensitivity of this model is higher than most (at the other extreme, for instance, the NCAR CSM has a sensitivity closer to 0.5°C/(W/m²)). Model simulations using Paleocene/Eocene boundary conditions are generally warmer (due to the absence of continental ice and changes in vegetation albedo). However, the sensitivity of these simulations to increased GHG forcing is very similar to that under present-day boundary conditions [Sloan and Rea, 1995; Sloan and Pollard, 1998; Huber and Sloan, 2001]. In particular, all existing simulations show high latitude amplification of the temperature response even at very high GHG amounts (up to at least 6 times CO₂), despite having less sea ice and snow covered area. This response is thus likely to be ubiquitous except in the extreme case of a complete absence of seasonal sea-ice or snow cover. The temperature results seen here can therefore be assumed to be roughly representative of model responses to increased GHG amounts both for the present-day and in the Paleocene.

[31] One particularly noticeable feature, different to some previous simulations of warm climates, is that surface zonal winds increase (Figure 3b) [see also Rind et al., 2001]. This is related to stratospheric radiative cooling and strengthening of the winter polar vortex, which leads in turn to significantly lower polar pressures and enhanced zonal winds in the lower stratosphere which project all the way down to the surface. This drives an increased westerly circulation pattern similar to that seen in anthropogenic climate warming scenarios [Shindell et al., 1999, 2001b] which enhances regional temperature increases in continental interiors.

4. Discussion

[34] Can these simple experiments help constrain the uncertainties associated with the CH₄ release hypothesis for the PETM? First, we can say something concerning the necessary total radiative forcing required to match the inferred temperature changes. The surface temperature changes seen in the B99 or 0.3 Gt/yr experiments match the peak observed changes in the high latitudes relatively well (5–7°C), but warm more than observed (2–3°C) in the tropics. Within the uncertainty of the data (due to diagenetic effects, changes to the seawater 18O/16O ratio, etc. (see the study of Crowley and Zachos [2000] for a fuller discussion)) and the incompleteness of the model (poor tropical resolution, no changes in ocean heat transports, etc.), we feel that this order of magnitude forcing (around 3 W/m²) is likely to have been necessary to produce the observed shifts. If the real world climate sensitivity is significantly less than in the model used here (say 0.5 compared to 1°C/(W/m²)) then the forcing would need to be doubled for a similar temperature response.

[35] If forcing of 3 W/m² were to be supplied purely from CO₂ increases, that would require a 60% increase over the base concentrations (and if twice as much forcing were required, up to 2.6 times base CO₂ levels would be necessary). These kinds of increase (at minimum 170 ppmv for the preindustrial base level, and 340 ppmv for a base climate with 560 ppmv), are much larger than can be accounted for from the direct effects of full oxidation of any anomalous CH₄ emissions. Therefore either the CH₄ emissions were much larger (though this is inconsistent with the magnitude of the carbon isotope excursion [Dickens et al., 1995; Dickens, 2000]) or a source of radiative forcing other than CO₂ must have been present during the PETM.

[36] Our working hypothesis is that some of the CH₄ was emitted directly into the atmosphere. In order to match the forcing, these emissions likely exceeded 0.3 Gt/yr (at least for some multicentennial interval). More rapid emissions (such as in the 500 year pulse experiment) produce too strong a forcing, and do not persist long enough to sustain the higher surface temperatures. On the other hand, slower emissions (such as the 0.15 Gt/yr experiment) last long enough, but probably do not provide enough forcing.

[37] In order for the deep sea to have warmed as much as inferred from the benthic foraminiferal results (about 4°C) the forcing must have continued for a few thousand years (long enough for the heat to have been diffused or advected into the deep ocean). Although, a faster response (≤1 kyr) due to a switch in location of deep water formation is also possible [Bice and Marotzke, 2002]. The return to background values in the deep ocean will take a similar length of time once the anomalous radiative forcing is removed. Since the scenarios explored here have forcings decreasing after a maximum of 25,000 years (i.e., for B99), this implies that that peak deep ocean temperatures will only last for a few thousands of years longer (in the absence of any oceanic or terrestrial feedbacks that might prolong the CO₂ excursion). This seems consistent with the period of peak benthic warmest seen in ODP 690 of a few tens of thousands of years within a total excursion of around 120,000 years [Norris and Röhl, 1999].

[38] The length of the forcing and its magnitude seen in the 0.3 Gt/yr and B99 experiments therefore seem qualitatively sufficient to match the observations. Note that, due to the nonlinearity of the CH₄ lifetime response, the peak radiative forcing in a pulsed release will be significantly higher than for a more uniform emission (however, the long-term mean forcing is dependent on the exact characteristics of the pulsing). While we note the substantial uncertainties in data interpretation, climate sensitivity, base climate state, and atmospheric composition, we nevertheless conclude that a massive CH₄ release from the dissociation of gas hydrates can consistently explain the PETM climate change. However, should future analyses lead to a substantial prolonging of the period of deep ocean warmth over that assumed above, these conclusions may have to be revisited.

[39] There are many feedbacks, not considered in this study, which will need to be accounted for in future work. For instance, we have neglected temperature and humidity effects on atmospheric reaction rates, productivity changes on the uptake of carbon [Bains et al., 2000; Beerling, 2000], changes in ocean temperatures and mixing [Bice and Marotzke, 2002], and variations in the rate of stratospheric–tropospheric exchange. We also neglected the highly uncertain effects of possible increases in solar strato-
Appendix A. Simple Two-Box Model

[41] Assuming CH4 and CO2 are well mixed in the troposphere allows us to construct a simple two-box model for the mean concentrations of CH4, CO2, and H2Ostr (at least the CH4-related portion). We assume that natural sources exactly balance the preindustrial (ca. 1850) sinks, with a preindustrial residence time for CH4 \((\tau_{M})\) of 8.4 years. We define \(\alpha\) as the tropospheric fraction of the total preindustrial CH4. The variation of this chemical sink as the CH4 concentration varies is written as \(S_{tr}\) and is approximated from the results in Table 1. The stratospheric sink is a photolytic reaction and is presumed proportional to the concentration, as is the biological soil sink. In the preindustrial, we estimate the tropospheric, stratospheric and soil sinks to be 88%, 7%, and 5% of the total sink, respectively (i.e., \(\alpha = 0.88\)), consistent with the 2-D modeling results and the magnitude of the present-day sinks [Prather et al., 2001].

[42] We define the mean stratosphere to be above 200mb. From present-day observations of the stratospheric CH4 sink (about 40 Tg/yr), the residence time for stratospheric air (about 5 years), and the mass of the stratosphere \(\approx 10^{18}\) kg, we estimate the fraction of CH4 crossing 200mb that is oxidized to be \(\lambda = 0.21\). Due to freezing out of some H2Ostr, its residence time \(\tau_{W}\) is slightly shorter than that of stratospheric air by a factor \(\mu\), assumed here to be 0.8.

[43] We define \(M\), \(W\), and \(C\) as the multiples of the preindustrial mixing ratios of CH4, H2Ostr, and CO2, respectively. Their evolution can be expressed as

\[
M(t) = (1 - \alpha S_{tr} + (1 - \alpha)) M_0 + I(t)
\]

\[
W(t) = (1 - W + 2\mu\lambda(M - 1)M_0/W_0)/\tau_{W}
\]

\[
C_M(t) = (M_0/C_0)(\alpha S_{tr} + (1 - \alpha)) M_0/\tau_{M}
\]

where \(I\) is the input function of CH4, and \(M_0\), \(C_0\), and \(W_0\) are the estimated preindustrial atmospheric mixing ratios (0.7, 280.0, and 2.6 ppmv, respectively). \(C_M(t)\) is defined as the source of CO2 at any point in time. Atmospheric concentrations of CO2 are calculated following a multiple timescale decay [Lashof and Ahuja, 1990] with the longest timescale set to 100,000 years to match the decay time for the PETM carbon isotope excursion [Bains et al., 1999].

[44] The increase in H2Ostr purely due to increasing CH4 since the preindustrial is estimated to be about 0.34 ppmv, in line with more detailed model estimates. At any point where \(M\) is in equilibrium with the anomalous emissions \(I\), the new residence time for CH4 can be calculated as \(\tau_{M} = \tau_{M,0}/(\alpha S_{tr} + (1 - \alpha))\). For reference, a threefold increase in surface emissions [Sloan et al., 1999] leads to \(M_0 = 2.9\) ppmv, \(\alpha = 0.78\), a CH4 residence time of 11.6 years and a base H2Ostr level of 3.3 ppmv. If a Paleocene value of 6 ppmv is assumed (which would require a roughly fivefold increase in emissions), the CH4 residence time would be 15 years and the base H2Ostr level, 4.4 ppmv.

[45] The coding of the two-box model that was used to generate the results reported here is available at http://www.giss.nasa.gov/gavin/petm.html.
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